

# Automorphisms of the semigroup of invertible matrices with nonnegative elements over commutative partially ordered rings

Bunina E.I., Semenov P.P.

Suppose that  $R$  is an ordered ring,  $G_n(R)$  is a subsemigroup of  $GL_n(R)$ , consisting of all matrices with nonnegative elements. In the paper [1] A.V. Mikhalev and M.A. Shatalova described all automorphisms of  $G_n(R)$ , where  $R$  is a linearly ordered skewfield and  $n \geq 2$ . In [3] E.I. Bunina and A.V. Mikhalev found all automorphisms of  $G_n(R)$ , if  $R$  is an arbitrary linearly ordered associative ring with  $1/2$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . In this paper we describe automorphisms of  $G_n(R)$ , if  $R$  is a commutative partially ordered ring, containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .

## 1. NECESSARY DEFINITIONS AND NOTIONS, FORMULATION OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $R$  be an associative (commutative) ring with 1.

**Definition 1.** A ring  $R$  is called *partially ordered* if there is a partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $R$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1)  $\forall x, y, z \in R (x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z)$ ;
- 2)  $\forall x, y \in R (0 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq y \Rightarrow 0 \leq xy)$ .

We will consider such partially ordered rings that contain  $1/m \geq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Elements  $r \in R$  with  $0 \leq r$  are called *nonnegative*.

**Definition 2.** Let  $R$  be a partially ordered ring. By  $G_n(R)$  we denote the subsemigroup of  $GL_n(R)$ , consisting of all matrices with nonnegative elements.

The set of all invertible elements of  $R$  is denoted by  $R^*$ . If  $1/2 \in R$ , then  $R^*$  is infinite, since it contains all  $1/2^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $R_+ \cap R^*$  is denoted by  $R_+^*$ . If  $1/2 \in R$ , it is also infinite.

**Definition 3.** Let  $I = I_n$ ,  $\Gamma_n(R)$  be the group consisting of all invertible matrices from  $G_n(R)$ ,  $\Sigma_n$  be symmetric group of order  $n$ ,  $S_\sigma$  be the matrix of a substitution  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  (i. e. the matrix  $(\delta_{i\sigma(j)})$ , where  $\delta_{i\sigma(j)}$  is the Kroneker symbol),  $S_n = \{S_\sigma | \sigma \in \Sigma_n\}$ ,  $diag[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  be a diagonal matrix with elements  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  on the diagonal,  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in R_+^*$ .

By  $D_n(R)$  we denote the group of all invertible diagonal matrices from  $G_n(R)$ .

**Definition 4.** If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are subsets of  $G_n(R)$ , then

$$C_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} | \forall b \in \mathcal{B} (ab = ba)\}.$$

Let  $E_{ij}$  be a matrix unit.

**Definition 5.** By  $B_{ij}(x)$  we denote the matrix  $I + xE_{ij}$ .  $\mathbf{P}$  is a subsemigroup in  $G_n(R)$ , generated by all matrices  $S_{\sigma}$  ( $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ ),  $B_{ij}(x)$  ( $x \in R_+, i \neq j$ ) and  $\text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R)$ .

**Definition 6.** Two matrices  $A, B \in G_n(R)$  are called  $\mathcal{P}$ -equivalent (see [1]), if there exist matrices  $A_j \in G_n(R)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k$ ,  $A = A_0, B = A_k$ , and matrices  $P_i, \tilde{P}_i, Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i \in \mathbf{P}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$  such that  $P_i A_i \tilde{P}_i = Q_i A_{i+1} \tilde{Q}_i$ .

**Definition 7.** By  $GE_n^+(R)$  we denote a subsemigroup in  $G_n(R)$ , generated by all matrices  $\mathcal{P}$ -equivalent to matrices from  $\mathbf{P}$ .

Note that if (for example)  $R$  is a linearly ordered field, then  $GE_n^+(R) = G_n(R)$ .

**Definition 8.** If  $G$  is some semigroup (for example,  $G = R_+^*, G_n(R), GE_n^+(R)$ ), then a homomorphism  $\lambda(\cdot) : G \rightarrow G$  is called a *central homomorphism* of  $G$ , if  $\lambda(G) \subset Z(G)$ . A mapping  $\Omega(\cdot) : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $\forall X \in G$

$$\Omega(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X,$$

where  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is a central homomorphism, is called a *central homotety*.

For example, if  $R = \mathbb{R}$  (the field of real numbers), then a homomorphism  $\lambda(\cdot) : G_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\forall A \in G_n(\mathbb{R}) \lambda(A) = |\det A| \cdot I$ , is a central homomorphism, and a mapping  $\Omega(\cdot) : G_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\forall A \in G_n(\mathbb{R}) \Omega(A) = |\det A| \cdot A$ , is a central homotety. Note that a central homotety  $\Omega(\cdot)$  always is an endomorphism of a semigroup  $G$ :  $\forall X, Y \in G \Omega(X)\Omega(Y) = \lambda(X)X \cdot \lambda(Y)Y = \lambda(X)\lambda(Y)X \cdot Y = \lambda(XY)XY = \Omega(XY)$ .

For every matrix  $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  let  $\Phi_M$  denote an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$  such that  $\forall X \in G_n(R) \Phi_M(X) = MXM^{-1}$ .

For every  $y(\cdot) \in \text{Aut}(R_+)$  by  $\Phi^y$  we denote an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$  such that  $\forall X = (x_{ij}) \in G_n(R) \Phi^y(X) = \Phi^y((x_{ij})) = (y(x_{ij}))$ .

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem.** Let  $\Phi$  be an automorphism of a semigroup  $G_n(R)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbb{Q} \in R$ . Then on the semigroup  $GE_n^+(R)$   $\Phi = \Phi_M \Phi^c \Omega$ , where  $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ ,  $c(\cdot) \in \text{Aut}(R_+)$ ,  $\Omega(\cdot)$  is a central homotety of the semigroup  $GE_n^+(R)$ .

## 2. CONSTRUCTING OF AN AUTOMORPHISM $\Phi'$

In this section we suppose that some automorphism  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  is fixed, where  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ , and by this automorphism we construct a new automorphism  $\Phi' \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  such that  $\Phi' = \Phi_{M'}\Phi$  for some matrix  $M' \in \Gamma_n(R)$  and for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  we have  $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha=1$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\forall x, y \in R_+(x + y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$ .

*Proof.* By definition of a partially ordered ring we obtain  $0 \leq y \Rightarrow x \leq x + y$ ,  $x \leq 0$  therefore,  $x = 0$ , similarly  $y = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\Phi$  is an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ , where  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ , then*

- 1)  $\Phi(\Gamma_n(R)) = \Gamma_n(R)$ ,
- 2)  $\Phi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$ ,

*Proof.* 1) Since  $\Gamma_n(R)$  is the subgroup of all invertible matrices of  $G_n(R)$ , then  $\Phi(\Gamma_n(R)) = \Gamma_n(R)$ .

2) Consider the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of all matrices  $A \in \Gamma_n(R)$ , commuting with all matrices that are conjugate to  $A$ .

Consider

$$B = \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R),$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_n(R), \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & \dots & a'_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a'_{n1} & \dots & a'_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a'_{ik} \cdot a_{kj} = 0 \quad i \neq j.$$

Therefore,  $a'_{ik} \cdot a_{kj} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  (by Lemma 1). Then  $A^{-1}BA$  is a diagonal matrix, so  $D_n(R) \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

Suppose that there exists a matrix  $C \in \mathcal{F} \setminus D_n(R)$ ,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1i} & \dots & c_{1j} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{i1} & \dots & c_{ii} & \dots & c_{ij} & \dots & c_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{j1} & \dots & c_{ji} & \dots & c_{jj} & \dots & c_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{in} & \dots & c_{jn} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us conjugate  $C$  by the matrix  $\text{diag}[d, 1, \dots, 1] \cdot S_{i,j}$  ( $i, j \neq 1$ ). The conjugate matrix ( $C'$ ) has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1j}d^{-1} & \dots & c_{1i}d^{-1} & \dots & c_{1n}d^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{j1}d & \dots & c_{jj} & \dots & c_{ji} & \dots & c_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{i1}d & \dots & c_{ij} & \dots & c_{ii} & \dots & c_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1}d & \dots & c_{nj} & \dots & c_{nj} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the condition  $CC' = C'C$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11}^2 + c_{12}c_{21}d + \dots + c_{1i}c_{j1}d + \dots + c_{1j}c_{i1}d + \dots + c_{1n}c_{n1}d = \\ = c_{11}^2 + c_{21}c_{12}d^{-1} + \dots + c_{i1}c_{1j}d^{-1} + \dots + c_{j1}c_{1i}d^{-1} + \dots + c_{n1}c_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $d = 2$  we obtain

$$3 \cdot (c_{12}c_{21} + \dots + c_{1i}c_{j1} + \dots + c_{1j}c_{i1} + \dots + c_{1n}c_{n1}) = 0.$$

Therefore (by Lemma 1),

$$c_{1i}c_{j1} = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \neq 1.$$

Similarly,

$$c_{ki}c_{jk} = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \neq k.$$

Let

$$C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \dots & \gamma_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n1} & \dots & \gamma_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$0 = \gamma_{11}c_{1k} + \gamma_{12}c_{2k} + \dots + \gamma_{1n}c_{nk},$$

thus

$$\gamma_{11}c_{1k} = 0, \quad k \neq 1.$$

We know

$$1 = \gamma_{11}c_{11} + \gamma_{12}c_{21} + \dots + \gamma_{1n}c_{n1}.$$

Multiplying this equality by  $c_{1k}$ , we obtain  $c_{1k} = 0$ . Similarly,  $c_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F} = D_n(R)$ , and so  $\varphi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\tau = (12)(34) \dots (2[n/2] - 1, 2[n/2]) \in S_n$ . If  $\Phi$  is an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , then there exists a matrix  $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  such that  $\Phi_M \Phi(S_\tau) = bS_\tau$ ,  $b \in R_+^*$ ,  $b^2 = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that  $A^2 = 1$ . It satisfies the conditions  $a_{ik}a_{kj} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Moreover,

$$1 = a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} + \cdots + a_{1n}a_{n1}.$$

Let  $a_{ii}a_{ii} = e_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{e_i\}$  is a system of mutually orthogonal central idempotents of  $R$ , with the sum 1, i.e.  $R = e_1R \oplus e_2R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR$ . Let us represent  $A$  as the sum  $e_1A + \cdots + e_nA$ . Write  $A_i = e_iA$  explicitly:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e_1a_{22} & \cdots & e_1a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & e_1a_{n2} & \cdots & e_1a_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_ia_{22} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & e_ia_{2n} \\ 0 & \vdots & * & 0 & * & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & * & 0 & * & \vdots \\ 0 & e_ia_{n2} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & e_ia_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i > 1.$$

Conjugate the matrix  $A$  by the matrix  $B = e_1B + \cdots + e_nB = B_1 + \cdots + B_n$ , where  $B_1 = e_1 \cdot I$ ,  $B_i = e_i \cdot S_{(2i)}$ . Then  $A' = B^{-1}AB = e_1A' + \cdots + e_nA' = A'_1 + \cdots + A'_n$ , where  $A'_1 = A_1$ ,

$$A'_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{i1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{n-2,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-2,n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i > 1.$$

Denote the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,n-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-2,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-2,n-2} \end{pmatrix}$  (and in the case  $i = 1$  the

matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1a_{22} & \cdots & e_1a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_1a_{n2} & \cdots & e_1a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$ ) by  $\Lambda_i$ . Note that  $\Lambda_i$  is a matrix over the

ring  $e_i R$  of size  $< n$  such that  $\Lambda_i^2 = E$ . Therefore we can repeat previous arguments for the matrix  $\Lambda_i$  and corresponding system of orthogonal idempotents of  $e_i R$ . Finally we obtain a matrix  $\tilde{A}$ , conjugate to the initial matrix  $A$ , and consisting of diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$  and  $1 \times 1$ .

Consequently every element of order two in the group  $\Gamma_n(R)$  is conjugate to some matrix consisting of diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$  and  $1 \times 1$ .

Consider the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{D \in D_n(R) \mid \forall N \in \Gamma_n(R)(N^2 = I \Rightarrow \exists C \in \Gamma_n(R)(D(CNC^{-1}) = (CNC^{-1}D)))\}.$$

This set consists of all diagonal matrices  $D$  such that for every element of the semigroup of order two there exists a matrix conjugate to this element that commutes with  $D$ . Then  $D$  in some basis has the form  $\text{diag}[\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha_k, \alpha]$ , if  $n = 2k + 1$ , or  $\text{diag}[\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha_k]$ , if  $n = 2k$ , (\*) since  $N$  can be taken equal to  $S_\tau$ .

Then consider a set

$$\Lambda = \{D \in \mathcal{F} \mid \forall D' \in \mathcal{F} C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(D) \not\subset C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(D')\},$$

consisting of matrices from  $\mathcal{F}$  with minimal centralizers.

Every matrix from  $\mathcal{F}$  commutes (in a basis, where it has the form (\*)) with all matrices that are divided in this basis to diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$  (and, possibly, a block  $1 \times 1$  in the end). Therefore,  $\Lambda$  contains matrices with centralizer only of such matrices. From the other side, in every basis matrices with given properties exist, for example these are matrices  $\text{diag}[1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, k, k, \dots]$  (here we use supposition that all natural numbers are invertible).

Consider an involution (an element of order 2 in our semigroup)  $J$ , that commutes with some matrix  $C \in \Lambda$  and, if it commutes with some diagonal matrix  $C'$ , then  $C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C) \subset C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C')$ . Since  $J \in C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C)$   $C \in \Lambda$ , then  $J$  consists of diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$  (and, possibly, one block  $1 \times 1$  in the end). Consider one of these blocks  $J_i = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $J_i^2 = I$ , then  $e_1 = a^2$  and  $e_2 = bc$  are orthogonal idempotents with the sum 1. We know that  $J_i \cdot \text{diag}[\alpha, \beta] \neq \text{diag}[\alpha, \beta] \cdot J_i$  for any invertible  $\alpha \neq \beta$  (by the choice of  $J$ ). Take  $\alpha = 1 = a^2 + bc$  and  $\beta = a^2/2 + bc$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are invertible and  $J_i \cdot \text{diag}[\alpha, \beta] = \text{diag}[\alpha, \beta] \cdot J_i$ . So  $\alpha = \beta$ , i.e.  $a^2 = 0$ . Consequently,  $bc = 1$ . Since  $abc = 0$ , we have

$a = 0$ . Similarly,  $d = 0$ . Therefore,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ b_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_k \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & b_k^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & b_k^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conjugating  $J$  by diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}[b_1, 1, b_2, 1, \dots, b_k, 1]$ , or, respectively,  $\text{diag}[b_1, b, b_2, b, \dots, b_k, b, 1]$ , we obtain a matrix  $J' = S_\tau$  or, respectively,  $bS_\tau$ . So we found a matrix  $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  such that  $M\Phi(S_\tau)M^{-1} = bS_\tau$ ,  $b^2 = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $n = 3$ ,  $\Phi$  be an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$  such that  $\Phi(S_\tau) = bS_\tau$ ,  $b^2 = 1$ , where  $\tau$  is a substitution from the previous lemma (in this case it is simply (12)). Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ , such that  $\Phi'(S_\rho) = \Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\rho) = b^{sgn \rho} S_\rho$ ,  $\forall \rho \in \Sigma_n$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\Phi(\text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta]) = \text{diag}[\alpha', \alpha', \beta'], \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R_+^*$$

since  $\Phi(S_{(12)}) = bS_{(12)}$  and the first matrix commutes with  $S_{(12)}$ . If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $\alpha' \neq \beta'$ , since  $\text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta]$  can not be mapped to a scalar matrix (the center of the semigroup). Let

$$\Phi(S_{(23)}) = A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $A^2 = I$ , then, as earlier, we obtain

$$a_{ik}a_{kj} = 0, \quad a_{1i}a_{i1} + a_{2i}a_{i2} + a_{3i}a_{i3} = 1 \quad (*)$$

Note that  $A$  does not commute with  $\text{diag}[\alpha', \alpha', \beta']$ . Therefore we obtain that at least one of the following conditions

$$\alpha a_{13} \neq \beta a_{13}, \quad \alpha a_{23} \neq \beta a_{23}, \quad \alpha a_{31} \neq \beta a_{31}, \quad \alpha a_{32} \neq \beta a_{32}$$

is satisfied. Since  $A^2 = I$ , then, as in the previous lemma,  $\xi = a_{12}a_{21}$  is an idempotent. Suppose that it is nonzero. Then let us take  $\alpha' = 1 + \xi$ ,  $\beta' = 1$  ( $\alpha'$  is invertible, and the inverse element is  $1 - \xi/2$ ). Then no one of these above conditions is satisfied. We come to contradiction, so  $a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ . Since  $A^2 = I$  we have  $a_{11}^2 + a_{13}a_{31} = 1$ . Multiplying this equality to  $a_{12}$ , we obtain  $a_{12} = 0$ . Similarly,  $a_{21} = 0$ . Now we use

the fact that  $S_{(12)}S_{(23)} = S_{(123)}$ , i.e. it has order 3, and consequently  $(S_{(12)}A)^3 = I$ . Thus, using (\*), we obtain the conditions

$$a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{22}a_{13}a_{31} = b, \quad a_{11}^2a_{22} = 0, \quad a_{11}a_{22}^2 = 0, \quad (**)$$

From (\*) it follows  $a_{11}^3 = a_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}^3 = a_{22}$ ,  $a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} = a_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}a_{13}a_{31} = a_{22}$ , hence  $a_{11} + a_{22} = b$ . From the other side,  $a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{22}a_{13}a_{31} + a_{33}^3 = b$ , and therefore  $a_{33}^3 = 0 = a_{33}$ . Consequently we can rewrite (\*) in the form

$$a_{13}a_{31} + a_{23}a_{32} = 1, \quad a_{11}^2 + a_{31}a_{13} = 1, \quad a_{22}^2 + a_{32}a_{23} = 1$$

Denote  $e_1 = a_{23}a_{32}$ ,  $e_2 = a_{13}a_{31}$ . These are orthogonal idempotents with the sum 1. Consequently we know that  $a_{11}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 = b$  (it is one of equalities (\*\*)). So  $a_{11}e_1 = be_1$ , but since  $a_{11}$  is orthogonal to  $e_2$ , then it belongs to the ring  $e_1R$ , and so  $a_{11} = ba_{11}e_1 = be_1$ . Similarly we obtain  $a_{22} = be_2$ , and the matrix  $A$  has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} be_1 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & be_2 & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Take now the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & 0 \\ e_2 & e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is invertible (inverse to itself) and commutes with  $S_{(12)}$ , therefore under the automorphism  $\Phi_C$  the matrix  $S_{(12)}$  is mapped to  $bS_{(12)}$ . The matrix  $A$  under this automorphism is mapped to the matrix

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b(a_{13} + a_{23}) \\ 0 & b(a_{31} + a_{32}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $(a_{13} + a_{23})(a_{31} + a_{32}) = e_1 + e_2 = 1$ . Now let us take the matrix  $C' = \text{diag}[1, 1, a_{13} + a_{23}]$  (it also commutes with  $S_{(12)}$ ) and conjugate  $A'$  by this matrix. We obtain  $bS_{(23)}$ . Since the symmetric group is generated by substitutions (12) and (23), we get to the obtained automorphism  $\Phi' = \Phi_M \circ \Phi$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $n = 4$ ,  $\Phi$  be an automorphism of the semigroup of nonnegative matrices such that  $\Phi(S_\tau) = S_\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a substitution from Lemma 3 (in our case it is (12)(34)). Let  $\rho = (13)(24)$ . Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_{n(R)}$ , such that  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\rho) = S_\rho$  and  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\tau) = S_\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \Phi(S_\rho)$ . Since  $S_\tau$  commutes with  $S_\rho$ , then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & b_1 & b_2 \\ a_2 & a_1 & b_2 & b_1 \\ c_1 & c_2 & d_1 & d_2 \\ c_2 & c_1 & d_2 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will consider  $X$  as a matrix  $2 \times 2$  over matrices  $2 \times 2$ :

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad A, B, C, D \in M_2(R).$$

Since  $X^2 = I$ , we have  $A^2 + BC = I_2$ , and since  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 + a_2^2 & 2a_1a_2 \\ 2a_1a_2 & a_1^2 + a_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore the matrices  $A^2$  and (similarly)  $BC$  have equal elements on the diagonal. Since the sum of matrices  $A^2$  and  $BC$  has zeros outside of the main diagonal, then the matrices  $A^2$  and  $BC$  are diagonal (and even are scalar). Consequently, they are central idempotents of the matrix ring  $2 \times 2$ . Note later that the matrix  $\text{diag}[d_1, d_1, d_2, d_2]$ , where  $d_1 \neq d_2$ ,  $d_1, d_2 \in R_+^*$ , is mapped under this automorphism to the matrix of the same form, i.e.  $\text{diag}[d'_1, d'_1, d'_2, d'_2]$ ,  $d'_1 \neq d'_2$ , because a given matrix is diagonal, commutes with  $S_\tau$  and is not scalar. Let us consider the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A^2/2 + BC & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . It has the form described above and commutes with  $X$  (to check it we use the fact that  $B$  and  $C$  commute, and also use the equalities  $B^2C = B$  and  $BC^2 = C$ , that are obtained from  $A^2 + BC = I_2$  by multiplying to  $B$  and  $C$ ). Consequently,  $A^2/2 + BC = I_2 = A^2 + BC$ ,  $A^2 = 0$ ,  $BC = I_2$ , and since  $ABC = 0$ , then  $A = 0$ , similarly  $D = 0$ . Now it is clear that the obtained matrix is  $M = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$  (note, that it commutes with  $S_\tau$ ). Therefore lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $n = 4$ ,  $\Phi$  be an automorphism constructed in the previous lemma. Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  and an involution  $a \in R_+^*$ , such that  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\sigma) = a^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$  for any substitution  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = \Phi(S_{(4321)})$ , where  $\Phi$  is an automorphism from the previous lemma. Since  $S_{(4321)}$  commutes with  $S_{(13)(24)}$ , we have that  $Y$  has

the form

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & z_1 & y_2 & z_2 \\ x_1 & w_1 & x_2 & w_2 \\ y_2 & z_2 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & w_2 & x_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now use the identity

$$S_{(4321)}S_{(12)(34)} = S_{(14)(23)}S_{(4321)},$$

that implies  $x_1 = z_2$ ,  $z_1 = x_2$ ,  $y_1 = w_2$ ,  $w_1 = y_2$ . Therefore,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & z_1 & y_2 & z_2 \\ z_2 & y_2 & z_1 & y_1 \\ y_2 & z_2 & y_1 & z_1 \\ z_1 & y_1 & z_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, use  $Y^2 = S_{(13)(24)}$ . We get the conditions  $y_1^2 + 2z_1z_2 + y_2^2 = 0$ ,  $y_1z_2 + y_1z_1 + y_2z_1 + y_2z_2 = 0$ ,  $z_1^2 + 2y_1y_2 + z_2^2 = 1$ . Multiplying the last one to  $y_1$ , we obtain  $z_1^2y_1 + 2y_1^2y_2 + z_2^2y_1 = y_1$ , but from the first equalities it follows  $y_1^2 = 0$ ,  $y_1z_1 = 0$ ,  $y_1z_2 = 0$  (see Lemma 1). Therefore,  $y_1 = 0$ , similarly  $y_2 = 0$ . Consequently,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_1 & 0 & z_2 \\ z_2 & 0 & z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & 0 & z_1 \\ z_1 & 0 & z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 \\ z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2 \\ z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 1$  and  $z_1z_2 = 0$ . Set  $M_1 = z_2^2S_{(14)(23)} + z_1^2I_4$  (it is invertible, inverse to itself). Let  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_{M_1} \circ \Phi$ , then (since  $M_1$  commutes with  $S_\tau$  and  $S_\rho$ )

$$\Phi_1(S_{(4321)}) = (z_1 + z_2)S_{(4321)}, \quad \Phi_1(S_\tau) = S_\tau, \quad \Phi_1(S_\rho) = S_\rho.$$

Now we will consider the matrix  $A = \Phi_1(S_{12})$ . Then from the conditions of commutativity  $S_{12}$  with  $S_{(12)(34)}$  and with  $\text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta]$  (the last one under our automorphism is mapped to some similar matrix if  $\alpha, \beta \in R_+^*$ : see the previous lemma) we obtain

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The identity

$$S_{(13)(24)}S_{(12)}S_{(13)(24)}S_{(12)} = S_{(12)(34)}$$

implies the conditions for matrix elements

$$a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 = 0, \quad a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 = 1.$$

From the first equality we obtain  $a_1b_1 = a_2b_2 = 0$ . Let us multiply the second equality to  $a_1$ , then  $a_1^2b_2 + a_1a_2b_1 = a_1$ . Since  $X^2 = I_4$  ( $a_1a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_1^2 = 1 - a_2^2$ ), we have  $a_1 = b_2(1 - a_2^2) = b_2$ . Similarly,  $a_2 = b_1$ . Therefore,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set  $M' = a_1^2S_{(13)(24)} + a_2^2I_4$  (it is invertible, inverse to itself). Let  $\Phi_2 = \Phi_{M'} \circ \Phi_1$ , then (since  $M'$  commute with  $S_{(4321)}, S_\tau$  and  $S_\rho$ )

$$\Phi_2(S_{(12)}) = (a_1 + a_2)S_{(12)}, \quad \Phi_2(S_{(4321)}) = (z_1 + z_2)S_{(4321)}, \quad \Phi_2(S_\tau) = S_\tau, \quad \Phi_2(S_\rho) = S_\rho.$$

Now it remains to prove that involutions  $a_1 + a_2$  and  $z_1 + z_2$  coincide. Let  $X = \Phi_2(S_{(432)}) = (a_1 + a_2)(z_1 + z_2)S_{(432)}$ . Since  $(432) = (4321)(12)$ , we have  $X^3 = I_4$ , consequently  $(a_1 + a_2)^3(z_1 + z_2)^3 = 1$ . Therefore  $(a_1 + a_2)(z_1 + z_2) = 1$ , and so  $z_1 + z_2 = (a_1 + a_2)^{-1} = a_1 + a_2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.** Let a number  $n$  be decomposed into the sum of powers of 2:

$$n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_l}, \quad k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_l \geq 0.$$

A diagonal block  $2^{k_i} \times 2^{k_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , of the size  $n \times n$ , corresponding to basis vectors with numbers  $2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_{i-1}} + 1, \dots, 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_i}$ , is denoted by  $\mathbf{D}_i$ .

**Definition 10.** By  $\sigma_i^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_i$ , we denote the substitution that acts identically on all blocks  $\mathbf{D}_m$ , except the block  $\mathbf{D}_j$ , and in the block  $\mathbf{D}_j$  it is the product of  $2^{k_j-1}$  transpositions, every of them is  $(p, p + 2^{i-1})$ .

By  $\sigma_i$  we denote the substitution  $\sigma_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \sigma_{i_l}^{(l)}$ , where  $i_q = \min(i, k_q)$ .

For example, for  $n = 7$

$$\sigma_1 = (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6), \quad \sigma_2 = (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6),$$

for  $n = 10$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 10), \\ \sigma_2 &= (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 10), \\ \sigma_3 &= (1, 5)(2, 6)(3, 7)(4, 8)(9, 10). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\Phi$  be an arbitrary automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ . Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ , such that  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_{\sigma_i}) = a_i S_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $a_i^2 = 1$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3 we can choose a matrix  $M_1$  such that  $\Phi_1(S_{\sigma_1}) = \Phi_{M_1} \circ \Phi(S_{\sigma_1}) = S_{\sigma_1}$ .

Now consider the matrix  $A_2 = \Phi_1(S_{\sigma_2})$ . Let  $n$  be odd. Since it commutes with  $S_{\sigma_1}$ , we have that

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \dots & a_{1,n-2} & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n} \\ a_{12} & a_{11} & a_{14} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n-2} & a_{1,n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & \dots & a_{3,n-2} & a_{3,n-1} & a_{3,n} \\ a_{32} & a_{31} & a_{34} & a_{33} & \dots & a_{3,n-1} & a_{3,n-2} & a_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-2,1} & a_{n-2,2} & a_{n-2,3} & a_{n-2,4} & \dots & a_{n-2,n-2} & a_{n-2,n-1} & a_{n-2,n} \\ a_{n-2,2} & a_{n-2,1} & a_{n-2,4} & a_{n-2,3} & \dots & a_{n-2,n-1} & a_{n-2,n-2} & a_{n-2,n} \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,1} & a_{n,3} & a_{n,3} & \dots & a_{n,n-2} & a_{n,n-2} & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then from  $A_2^2 = I$  it follows (if we consider the right column multiplying to the second row, the third column multiplying to the fourth row, etc.)  $a_{n,i}a_{i,n} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Now consider the last column, multiplying by the last row. From the obtained equalities it follows  $a_{n,n}^2 = 1$ , i.e.  $a_{n,n}$  is an invertible element of  $R$ . Therefore  $a_{i,n} = a_{n,i} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . So we can bound a given matrix for the size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ . Consequently, we can suppose yet that the semigroup dimension is even. In this case the matrix has the form

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \dots & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n} \\ a_{12} & a_{11} & a_{14} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1,n} & a_{1,n-1} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & \dots & a_{3,n-1} & a_{3,n} \\ a_{32} & a_{31} & a_{34} & a_{33} & \dots & a_{3,n} & a_{3,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & a_{n-1,3} & a_{n-1,4} & \dots & a_{n-1,n-1} & a_{n-1,n} \\ a_{n-1,2} & a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,4} & a_{n-1,3} & \dots & a_{n-1,n} & a_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will consider  $A_2$  as a matrix  $n/2 \times n/2$  over matrices  $2 \times 2$ :

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_2^{(1,1)} & \dots & A_2^{(1,n/2)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_2^{(n/2,1)} & \dots & A_2^{(n/2,n/2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2^{(1,1)}, \dots, A_2^{(n/2,n/2)} \in M_2(R).$$

Since  $A_2^2 = 1$ , we have  $A_2^{(1,1)^2} + A_2^{(1,2)} A_2^{(2,1)} + \dots + A_2^{(1,n/2)} A_2^{(n/2,1)} = I_2$ , and since  $A_2^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $A_2^{(1,i)} A_2^{(i,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2 & a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 \\ a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 & a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Consequently the matrix  $A_2^{(1,i)} A_2^{(i,1)}$  has equal elements on the diagonal. Since the sum of all matrices  $A_2^{(1,i)} A_2^{(i,1)}$  has zeros outside the main diagonal, then all matrices  $E_i = A_2^{(1,i)} A_2^{(i,1)}$  are diagonal, therefore they

are scalar. Hence they are central idempotents of the matrix ring  $2 \times 2$ . Therefore  $\{E_i\}$  is a system of mutually orthogonal idempotents with the sum 1, i. e.  $M_2(R) = E_1 M_2(R) \oplus E_2 M_2(R) \oplus \dots \oplus E_{n/2} M_2(R)$ . Let us represent  $A_2$  as  $E_1 A_2 + \dots + E_{n/2} A_2$ .

Conjugate the matrix  $A_2$  by the matrix  $B = E_1 B + \dots + E_n B = B_1 + \dots + B_n$ , where  $B_1 = E_1 \cdot I$ ,  $B_i = E_i \cdot S_{(3,2i-1)(4,2i)}$ . Then  $A'_2 = B^{-1} A_2 B = E_1 A'_2 + \dots + E_n A'_2 = A_2^{(1)'} + \dots + A_2^{(n)'}$ , where

$$A_2^{(1)'} = \begin{pmatrix} A_2^{(1,1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{11}^{(1)} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(1)} \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \alpha_{n-1,1}^{(1)} & \dots & \alpha_{n-1,n-1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2^{(i)'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{1i} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_{i1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{11}^{(i)} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n-2}^{(i)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{n-2,1}^{(i)} & \dots & \alpha_{n-2,n-2}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i > 1.$$

Let us denote the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-2,1} & \dots & \alpha_{n-2,n-2} \end{pmatrix}$  (and in the case

$i = 1$  the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1,1} & \dots & \alpha_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ) by  $\Lambda_i$ . Note that  $\Lambda_i$  is

a matrix over the ring  $E_i M_2(R)$  of the size  $< n/2$  such that  $\Lambda_i^2 = I$ . Therefore, we can repeat previous arguments for the matrix  $\Lambda_i$  and the corresponding system of orthogonal idempotents of  $E_i M_2(R)$ . Finally we obtain the matrix  $\tilde{A}_2$ , conjugate to the initial matrix  $A_2$  and consisting of diagonal blocks  $4 \times 4$  and  $2 \times 2$ . So every element of the order in  $\Gamma_n(R)$ , commuting with  $S_{\sigma_1}$ , in some basis (where  $S_{\sigma_1}$  is not changed) consists of diagonal blocks  $4 \times 4$  and  $2 \times 2$  (it is clear that for matrices of odd sizes there can be one block  $1 \times 1$ ).

Consider now the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &= \{D \in D_n(R) \mid DS_{\sigma_1} = S_{\sigma_1} D \wedge \forall J \in \Gamma_n(R) (J^2 = I \wedge JS_{\sigma_1} = \\ &= S_{\sigma_1} J \Rightarrow \exists C \in \Gamma_n(R) (D(CJC^{-1}) = (CJC^{-1}D))\}. \end{aligned}$$

This set consists of diagonal matrices with pairs of equal elements on the diagonal that commute in some basis with all matrices of the order 2, that can be represented as blocks  $4 \times 4$  and  $2 \times 2$ . Therefore

every element  $D$  of this set in some basis has the form

$$\text{diag}[\alpha_1 I_2, \alpha_1 I_2, \alpha_2 I_2, \alpha_2 I_2, \dots, \alpha_k I_2, \alpha_k I_2, I_2],$$

if  $n/2 = 2k + 1$ , or

$$\text{diag}[\alpha_1 I_2, \alpha_1 I_2, \dots, \alpha_k I_2, \alpha_k I_2],$$

if  $n/2 = 2k$ , (if the dimension of the semigroup is odd, then we add one more diagonal element in the end)  $(*)$ , and the corresponding basis change does not move  $S_{\sigma_1}$ ,  $D$  commutes with  $S_{\sigma_1}$  and with  $S_{\sigma_2}$  in some basis.

Consider the set

$$\Lambda_1 = \{D \in \mathcal{F}_1 \mid \forall D' \in \mathcal{F}_1 C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(D) \not\subset C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(D')\}.$$

Every matrix from  $\mathcal{F}_1$  commutes (in a basis where it has the form  $(*)$ ) with all matrices that in this basis are divided into diagonal blocks  $4 \times 4$  (and, possibly,  $2 \times 2$  in the end and also, possibly,  $1 \times 1$  in the end). So  $\Lambda_1$  contains matrices with centralizers only of these matrices. From the other side, matrices with these properties exist in every basis, for example these are matrices  $\text{diag}[1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots, k, k, k, k, \dots]$ .

Consider the involution  $K$  that is the image of  $S_{\sigma_2}$ . This matrix commutes with  $S_{\sigma_1}$  and with some matrix  $C \in \Lambda_1$ . Moreover, if it commutes with some diagonal matrix  $C'$ , then  $C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C) \subset C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C')$ . Since  $K \in C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(C)$  and  $C \in \Lambda_1$ , then  $J$  consists of diagonal blocks  $4 \times 4$  (and, possibly, one block  $2 \times 2$ , and also possibly one block  $1 \times 1$ ).

Consider one of these blocks ( $4 \times 4$ )

$$\tilde{K}_i = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad A, B, C, D \in M_2(R).$$

Since  $\tilde{K}_i$  is an involution commuting with  $S_{\sigma_1}$  bounded on a given part of basis, then  $E_1 = A^2$  and  $E_2 = BC$  are central orthogonal idempotents of  $M_2(R)$  with the sum  $I_2$ . We know that  $\tilde{K}_i \cdot \text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta] \neq \text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta] \cdot \tilde{K}_i$  for any invertible  $\alpha \neq \beta$  (by the choice of  $K$ ). Take  $\alpha I_2 = A^2 + BC$  and  $\beta I_2 = A^2/2 + BC$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are invertible and  $\tilde{K}_i \cdot \text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta] = \text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta] \cdot \tilde{K}_i$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = \beta$ , i. e.  $A^2 = 0$ . Consequently,  $BC = 1$ . Since  $ABC = 0$ , we have  $A = 0$ . Similarly  $D = 0$ . Therefore  $K$  (depending of dimension) can have one

of four forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ B_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B_k \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_k^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_k^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_k^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{k+1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_{k+1}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_k^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_{k+1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{k+2} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

After conjugating  $K$  by the block-diagonal matrix that has the form

$$\text{diag}[B_1, I_2, B_2, I_2, \dots, B_k, I_2]$$

in the first case,

$$\text{diag}[B_1, b_{k+1}I_2, B_2, b_{k+1}I_2, \dots, B_k, b_{k+1}I_2, 1]$$

in the second case,

$$\text{diag}[B_1, I_2, B_2, I_2, \dots, B_k, I_2, b_{k+1}, 1]$$

in the third case,

$$\text{diag}[B_1, b_{k+2}I_2, B_2, b_{k+2}I_2, \dots, B_k, b_{k+2}I_2, b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, 1]$$

in the fourth case, we obtain the matrix  $K' = S_{\sigma_2}$ , or  $b_{k+1}S_{\sigma_2}$ ,  $b_{k+2}S_{\sigma_2}$ , and the matrix  $S_{\sigma_1}$  is not changed. Therefore, we found a matrix  $M_2 \in \Gamma_n(R)$  such that  $M\Phi(S_{\sigma_i})M_2^{-1} = b_iS_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Continuing this procedure we come to the obtained basis change.  $\square$

**Definition 11.** We call a diagonal matrix  $D \in \Gamma_n(R)$  a *block-scalar involution*, if  $D^2 = I$  and  $D$  is scalar in every block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . The set (group) of all block-scalar involutions is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let an automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $G_n(R)$  be such that  $\Phi(S_{\sigma_i}) = a_i S_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $a_i^2 = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ . Then every matrix  $S_{\sigma_i^{(j)}}$  under the action of  $\Phi$  is mapped to  $D \cdot S_{\sigma_i^{(j)}}$ , where  $D \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

*Proof.* We will prove this statement by induction by sizes of blocks  $\mathbf{D}_j$ .

**Induction basis.** For a block  $\mathbf{D}_1$  of the size  $1 \times 1$  we do not need to prove anything, therefore we start with the block  $2 \times 2$  (if it exists).

Suppose that the block  $2 \times 2$  has the place  $p, p+1$ . Consider the matrix  $S_{\sigma_1^{(1)}} = S_{(p,p+1)}$ . It satisfies the following properties:

- 1)  $S_{(p,p+1)}$  commutes with all  $\sigma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ ;
- 2)  $S_{(p,p+1)}^2 = I$ ;
- 3) if some diagonal matrix  $D$  commutes with some  $S_{\sigma_i}$ , then  $D$  commutes also with  $S_{(p,p+1)}$ ;
- 4) if a diagonal matrix commutes with  $S_{(p,p+1)}$ , then it also commutes with any other matrix satisfying the properties 1–3.

Since any matrix that is scalar in every block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , commutes with  $S_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ , then the image  $A_{(p,p+1)} = \Phi(S_{(p,p+1)})$  has to commute, for example, with the matrix  $\text{diag}[I_{2^{k_1}}, 2 \cdot I_{2^{k_2}}, \dots, l \cdot I_{2^{k_l}}]$ , therefore the matrix  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  can also be divided into blocks  $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l$ . Consider the matrix  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  and its block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  of the size greater  $2 \times 2$ . In this block there are exactly  $k_i$  different  $S_{\sigma_i^{(j)}}$ . Consider a set of diagonal matrices  $H_1, \dots, H_{k_i}$ , identical in all blocks, except  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , and in the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \text{diag}[1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \dots, 2^{k_i-1}, 2^{k_i-1}], \\ H_2 &= \text{diag}[1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, \dots, 2^{k_i-1} - 1, 2^{k_i-1}, 2^{k_i-1} - 1, 2^{k_i-1}], \\ H_3 &= \text{diag}[1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, ], \dots, \\ H_{k_i} &= \text{diag}[1, 2, \dots, 2^{k_i-1}, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{k_i-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Every  $H_j$  commutes with a corresponding matrix  $S_{\sigma_j}$ , therefore (the condition 3) matrix  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  commutes with all  $H_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k_i$ . Thus  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  in the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  is diagonal. From the condition 1 it follows that  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  in the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  is scalar (since it has the order 2, then the corresponding scalar number is an involution). Now let us look at the last block (of the size  $2 \times 2$ ). Let in this block  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  have the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  commutes with  $S_{\sigma_1}$ , then  $a = d, b = c$ . Since  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  has the order 2, then  $a^2 + b^2 = 1, ab = 0$ .

Use now the condition 4.

Consider the diagonal matrix  $H$ , identical in all blocks  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , except the block under consideration, and in the block under consideration  $2 \times 2$  having the form  $\text{diag}[1, a^2/2 + b^2]$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot a & 1 \cdot b \\ (a^2/2 + b^2) \cdot b & (a^2/2 + b^2) \cdot a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot a & (a^2/2 + b^2) \cdot b \\ 1 \cdot b & (a^2/2 + b^2) \cdot a \end{pmatrix},$$

since  $ab = 0$ , i.e.  $H$  and  $A_{(p,p+1)}$  commute. Therefore,  $H$  commutes with any other matrix, satisfying the conditions 1–3, and also with  $S_{(p,p+1)}$ . It means that  $a^2/2 + b^2 = 1$ , i.e.  $a^2 = 0$ . Consequently,  $a = 0$  (since from  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  and  $ab = 0$  it follows  $a^3 = a$ ). Thus  $A_{(p,p+1)} = DS_{(p,p+1)}$ , where  $D \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Induction step.** Now we can suppose that the matrix of every substitution  $\sigma_m^{(j)}$ ,  $j > i$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k_{i+1}$  is mapped under our automorphism into itself multiplied by some matrix from  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Under this supposition let us consider now the matrices of substitutions  $\sigma_m^{(i)}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k_i$ . Images of all such matrices commute with all diagonal matrices commuting with all  $S_{\sigma_1}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{k_1}}$ , and therefore with the matrix  $\text{diag}[I_{2^{k_1}}, 2 \cdot I_{2^{k_2}}, \dots, l \cdot I_{2^{k_l}}]$ , consequently all these images are also divided into the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l$ .

Let  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}} = \Phi(S_{\sigma_1^{(i)}})$ . Since  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  commutes with all diagonal matrices commuting with  $S_{\sigma_1}$ , we have that  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  is divided into diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$ . Now we can say that  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  commutes with any diagonal matrix commuting with  $\sigma_1^{(1)} \dots \sigma_1^{(i)} = \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_1^{(i+1)} \dots \sigma_1^{(l)}$ . Such diagonal matrices can have any arbitrary elements on the diagonal, starting from the block  $\mathbf{D}_{i+1}$ , therefore the matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  on the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l$  is diagonal. According to the fact that it commutes with all  $S_{\sigma_m^{(j)}}$ ,  $j > i$ , we obtain that in every block  $\mathbf{D}_j$ ,  $j > i$ , the matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  is scalar.

The matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  commutes with all  $S_{\sigma_1}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{k_1}}$ , therefore inside every  $\mathbf{D}_j$  all blocks  $2 \times 2$  are equal.

Now consider some block  $\mathbf{D}_j$ ,  $j < i$ . The matrices  $S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_{i+1}}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{k_1}}$  coincide on the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , hence all their pairwise products are identical on  $\mathbf{D}_i$ . So the matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with one of  $S_{\sigma_1} S_{\sigma_i} S_{\sigma_{i+1}}, S_{\sigma_1} S_{\sigma_i} S_{\sigma_{i+2}}, \dots, S_{\sigma_1} S_{\sigma_i} S_{\sigma_{k_1}}$ . For example, we can take the matrix

$$\text{diag}[1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 2, 1]$$

on  $\mathbf{D}_j$ , it commutes with  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$ , therefore on the block  $\mathbf{D}_j$  our  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  is diagonal, consequently it is scalar.

Now we only need to consider the matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  on the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ . So  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  commutes with all  $S_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ . We know that  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  on  $\mathbf{D}_i$  consists of the same diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$  of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , and moreover (since  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  has order 2),  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ ,  $ab = 0$ . Now we can note that the matrix  $S_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  is such that if some diagonal matrix commutes with it, then it commutes with any other matrix satisfying all above properties. Thus the matrix  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$  has the same properties as  $S_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$ . Consequently, as above (in induction basis) we can conclude that every block has  $a = 0$ . Therefore on the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  we have  $A_{\sigma_1^{(i)}} = bS_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$ ,  $b^2 = 1$ , what we needed.

It is clear that the proof for  $S_{\sigma_m^{(i)}}$ ,  $m > 1$ , is completely the same.  $\square$

**Definition 12.** By  $\tau(i, p, m)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, k_i$ ,  $m = p, \dots, k_i$ , we denote a substitution, that on all blocks, except  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , is identical, and on the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  on the first  $2^m$  basis elements coincides with  $\sigma_p$ , and on other basis elements is identical. Therefore,  $\tau(i, 1, 1)$  is just a transposition,  $\tau(i, p, k_i) = \sigma_p^{(i)}$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let an automorphism  $\Phi$  of the semigroup  $G_n(R)$  be such that  $\Phi(S_{\sigma_i}) = a_i S_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $a_i^2 = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ . Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  such that every matrix  $S_{\tau(i, 1, m)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k_i$ , under the action of  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi$  is mapped into  $D \cdot S_{\tau(i, 1, m)}$ , where  $D \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

If  $\tau(i, 1, m)$  is even, then  $D = E$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix some block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  and prove the statement for all  $\tau(i, 1, m)$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k_i$  by induction from  $k_i$  to 1. Note that for  $k_i$  everything is already proved. Let us make the step from  $k_i$  to  $k_i - 1$ .

Every matrix  $S_{\tau(i, p, m)}$  commutes with any diagonal matrix, that commutes with  $S_{\tau(i, p, k_i)}$ . It gives that for every  $m = p, \dots, k_i$  the image  $A_{\tau(i, p, m)} = \Phi(S_{\tau(i, p, m)})$  is diagonal in all blocks, except  $\mathbf{D}_i$ , and since all  $S_{\tau(i, p, m)}$  commute with all  $S_{\sigma_q^{(p)}}$ ,  $q \neq i$ , we directly obtain that this image in all blocks is scalar. So we only need to consider the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ .

In this block we will use induction.

The matrix  $A_{\tau(i, 1, k_i - 1)}$  commutes with all  $S_{\sigma_q}$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, k_i - 1$ , therefore on  $\mathbf{D}_i$  the matrix  $A_{\tau(i, 1, k_i - 1)}$  consists of diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$ , and the first half of blocks coincide with each other, the second half also coincide with each other. Let every block in the first half of blocks is equal to  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , every block in the second half is equal to  $\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$ .

Now use the condition

$$S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} S_{\sigma_{k_i}} S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} S_{\sigma_{k_i}} = S_{\tau(i,1,k_i)}.$$

It gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore  $ac = bd = 0$ ,  $ad + bc = \alpha$ . Changing basis with the matrix  $b^2 E + a^2 S_{\tau(i,k_i,k_i)}$  (this basis change commutes with all introduced earlier matrices), we come to the matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$ , that has in the first half of  $\mathbf{D}_i$  the matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , and in the second half the matrices  $\beta I_2$ ,  $\beta^2 = 1$ . Now let us make the basis change (only in the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ ) with the help of diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}[\alpha/\beta, 1, \alpha/\beta, 1, \dots, \alpha/\beta, 1]$ . Such change does not move  $A_{\sigma_q^{(i)}}$ ,  $q > 1$ , and the matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$  under consideration has in the new basis the obtained form.

Again from the condition

$$A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} A_{\sigma_{k_i}^{(i)}} A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} A_{\sigma_{k_i}^{(i)}} = A_{\tau(i,1,k_i)}$$

we see that  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i)} = S_{\tau(i,1,k_i)}$ .

If  $k_i - 1 = 1$ , we do not need any later considerations. Therefore we suppose that  $k_i$  is greater than 2. Let us show one more step of induction (other steps are completely similar).

At the beginning we want to show that if in the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$   $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} = \alpha S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$ , then necessarily  $\alpha = 1$ .

Note that there exists a matrix  $B$  (actually it is, for example, the matrix  $S_{(1,3)}$ ), that commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with  $S_{\sigma_2}$ , and also a matrix  $C$  (for example,  $S_{(1,4)}$ ), that commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with  $S_{\sigma_1} S_{\sigma_2}$ , such that

$$B \cdot S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} \cdot B^{-1} \cdot S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} = C \cdot S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} \cdot C^{-1}.$$

Naturally, this condition remains under any automorphism. Since the matrix  $\Phi(B)$  commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with  $S_{\sigma_2}$ , then it independently acts in the first and in the second halves of the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ . The same we can say about  $\Phi(C)$ . Since on the second half of the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$  the matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$  is scalar (has the form  $\alpha I$ ), then under conjugation it does not change its form. Therefore,  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ , so  $\alpha = 1$ .

Consequently,  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} = S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$ .

Now consider the matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)} = \Phi(S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)})$ .

We know that this matrix is scalar in the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ . Since it commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$ , we

have that it is diagonal on the second half of  $\mathbf{D}_i$ . Let us denote the first half of  $\mathbf{D}_i$  by  $\mathbf{D}'_i$ , the second half by  $\mathbf{D}''_i$ .

The matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)}$  commutes with all  $S_{\sigma_q}$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, k_i - 2$ , therefore: 1) on  $\mathbf{D}'_i$  it consists of diagonal blocks  $2 \times 2$ , where the first half of block are the same, and similarly the second half of blocks coincide with each other; 2) on  $\mathbf{D}''_i$  it has the form  $\text{diag}[aI_{2^{k_i-2}}, bI_{2^{k_i-2}}]$ ,  $a^2 = b^2 = 1$ . Let on  $\mathbf{D}_i$   $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)} = \alpha S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}$ .

Consider the condition

$$A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)} A_{\sigma_{k_i-1}^{(i)}} A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)} A_{\sigma_{k_i-1}^{(i)}} = S_{\tau(i,1,k_i-1)}.$$

Repeat arguments, similar to the previous step, and take a basis change with some suitable matrix  $b'^2 E + a'^2 S_{\tau(i,k_i-1,k_i-1)}$ . Such a change does not move any  $A_{\sigma_q^{(i)}}$ ,  $q > 1$ , and the matrix  $A_{\tau(i,1,k_i-2)}$  under this change has now the obtained form.

Continuing this procedure for  $k_i - 3, \dots, 1$ , we come to a basis where all  $A_{\tau(i,1,q)}$ ,  $q > 1$ , coincide with  $S_{\tau(i,1,q)}$ , and  $A_{\tau(i,1,1)}$  differs from  $S_{\tau(i,1,1)}$  by some element from  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Note that we proved also the last assertion of our lemma, because odd elements under consideration are exactly  $S_{\tau(i,1,1)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let an automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $G_n(R)$  be such that every matrix  $S_{\tau(i,1,m)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k_i$ , under the action of  $\Phi$  is mapped to  $D \cdot S_{\tau(i,1,m)}$ , where  $D \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and if a substitution  $\tau(i, p, m)$  is even, then  $D = I$ . Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  such that every matrix of the substitution  $\tau$ , acting independently on the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l$ , is mapped under  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi$  into a matrix  $DS_\tau$ , and if  $\tau$  is even, then  $D = I$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider matrices of substitutions that act identically on all blocks except some fixed block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ . Their images commute with all diagonal matrices, commuting with all images of  $S_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{k_i}^{(i)}}$ , and also with images of all  $S_{\sigma_j^{(p)}}$ ,  $p \neq i$ . Consequently in all blocks  $\mathbf{D}_p$ ,  $p \neq i$ , images of such matrices are scalar. Consider now the block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ .

Note that if a size of  $\mathbf{D}_i$  is not greater than two, then everything is proved. Therefore we can suppose that this size is not smaller than  $4 \times 4$ . For convenience we will suppose that elements of the basis in  $\mathbf{D}_i$  are numbered from 1 (i.e. it is the first block).

By the condition of the lemma everything is proved for the matrix of  $(1, 2)$ , i.e.  $\Phi(S_{(1,2)}) = \alpha S_{(1,2)}$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ . Since the transpositions  $(3, 4), (5, 6), \dots, (2^{k_i} - 1, 2^{k_i})$  are conjugate to  $(1, 2)$  by substitutions  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{k_i}$  and their products, then  $\Phi(S_{(2p-1, 2p)}) = \alpha S_{(2p-1, 2p)}$  for all  $p = 2, \dots, 2^{k_i-1}$ .

Consider the matrix  $A_{(1,3)}$ , the image of  $S_{(1,3)}$ . Since it commutes with all diagonal matrices, commuting with  $S_{\sigma_2}$ , and also it commutes with  $S_{\sigma_2}$  itself, we have that it is divided into diagonal blocks  $4 \times 4$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2 = 1, ab = cd = 0.$$

Since the matrix  $A_{(1,2)}A_{(1,3)}$  has the order three, we have that all blocks except the first one, are scalar matrices with the coefficient  $\alpha$ . Consider the first block. From the same condition we obtain  $ac = bd = 0$ ,  $a = d$ ,  $b = c$ . Make a new basis change with the matrix  $b^2I + a^2S_{\sigma_1^{(i)}}$ . Such basis change does not move any matrices introduced earlier, and our matrix now has the form  $\alpha S_{(1,3)}$ . It is clear that all other matrices of transpositions can be obtained by conjugation of matrices considered above.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $n > 4$ ,  $\Phi$  be an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ . Then  $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$  and an involution  $a \in R_+^*$ , such that  $\Phi_1(S_\sigma) = \Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$  for every even substitution  $\sigma$  and  $\Phi_1(S_\sigma) = \Phi_M \circ \Phi(S_\sigma) = aS_\sigma$  for every odd substitution  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* According to previous lemmas we can suppose that  $\Phi(S_{(p,p+1)}) = D_p S_{(p,p+1)}$ ,  $D_p \in \mathcal{Q}$ , for all transpositions  $(p, p+1)$ , changing elements inside one block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . Since the product of any to transpositions is even, and the matrix of an even substitution is mapped to itself, then all matrices  $D_p$  coincide. Since all transpositions are conjugate, then the corresponding matrices have the same trace. If  $D_p = D = \text{diag}[\alpha_1 I_{2^{k_1}}, \dots, \alpha_l I_{2^{k_l}}]$ , then we consider to different blocks  $\mathbf{D}_i$  and  $\mathbf{D}_j$  of nonunit sizes. Consider transpositions  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$ , arbitrary transpositions from corresponding blocks. Trace of  $A_{\tau_i}$  is  $\alpha_1 2^{k_1} + \dots + \alpha_l 2^{k_l} - 2\alpha_i$ , trace of  $A_{\tau_j}$  is  $\alpha_1 2^{k_1} + \dots + \alpha_l 2^{k_l} - 2\alpha_j$ . Therefore  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  always, except, possibly, a block  $1 \times 1$ .

Let  $n$  be odd (then there is a block  $1 \times 1$ ). Consider  $A_{(n-1,n)} = \Phi(S_{(n-1,n)})$ .

We need to consider two cases: there exist a block  $2 \times 2$ , there is no such block.

1. Let us have a block  $2 \times 2$ . It is staying just before a block  $1 \times 1$ .

The matrix  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  commutes with all transpositions from the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_{l-2}$ , and has the order 2. Let us look what does it mean for the transposition  $S_{(1,2)}$  (by our assumption  $n > 4$ , i.e.  $n > 6$ , therefore the transposition  $(1, 2)$  does not intersect with  $(n-2, n-1)$ ).

If

$$A_{(n-1,n)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

then since  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  commutes with  $S_{(1,2)}$ , we have  $a_{2i} = a_{1i}$ ,  $a_{i2} = a_{i1}$ ,  $i = 3, \dots, n$ ,  $a_{22} = a_{11}$ ,  $a_{12} = a_{21}$ . From the other side the matrix  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  has the order two, therefore  $a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 + a_{13}a_{31} + \dots + a_{1n}a_{n1} = 1$  (the first column and the first row),  $a_{11}a_{12} = a_{13}a_{31} = \dots = a_{1n}a_{n1} = 0$  (the second column and the first row). As usual for matrices of order two  $a_{ik}a_{kj} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Consequently,  $a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 = 1$ , and since  $a_{1i}a_{i1} = a_{i1}a_{11} = a_{1i}a_{12} = a_{i1}a_{12} = 0$ ,  $i = 3, \dots, n$ , we have  $a_{1i} = a_{i1} = 0$  for all  $i = 3, \dots, n$ .

By similar arguments for other transpositions commuting with  $A_{(n-1,n)}$ , we see that  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  in every block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l-2$ , is scalar and in the end it has the block  $3 \times 3$ . It is clear that we can bound our consideration on this block. But in this case we come to the situation of Lemma 4, and by a suitable basis change (of the form  $\text{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1, \gamma](aE + bS_{(n-2,n-1)})$ , i. e. commuting with all matrices considered above) we can come to the situation  $A_{(n-1,n)} = DS_{(n-1,n)}$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $D$  is scalar on the union of last two blocks. Since the matrix  $A_{(n-2,n-1)}A_{(n-1,n)}$  has order three, we obtain directly  $D = \alpha E$ . It is what we needed.

**2.** Suppose now that there is no block  $2 \times 2$ . This case is even easier than the previous one. Initial arguments are similar to the previous arguments, according to them we obtain that  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  in every block  $\mathbf{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l-2$ , is scalar. Then let us consider the block that is previous to the last one, it has size at least  $4 \times 4$ . Since  $A_{(n,n-1)}$  commutes with all matrices of transpositions  $(p, p+1)$  in this block, except the last one, we obtain that the matrix  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  is scalar on elements of the block, except the last one, i.e. the matrix  $A_{(n-1,n)}$  is diagonal everywhere, except the last block  $2 \times 2$ . Then it is clear that it has the form  $DS_{(n-1,n)}$  for some diagonal matrix  $D$ , and after a basis change with the matrix  $\text{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1, \gamma]$  we come to  $A_{(n-1,n)} = \alpha S_{(n-1,n)}$ .

Completely the same arguments can be applied to transpositions, that join other pairs of blocks. On every step (jointing blocks  $\mathbf{D}_i$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{i+1}$ ) it is sufficient to apply diagonal changes, that are identical on the blocks  $\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_i$ , and scalar with some coefficient  $\gamma$  on other blocks. Clear that such changes commute with all considered above matrices of transpositions, what we need.

Finally we obtain  $A_{(p,p+1)} = \alpha S_{(p,p+1)}$  for all  $p = 1, \dots, n-1$ , so the lemma is proved.  $\square$

### 3. ACTION OF $\Phi'$ ON DIAGONAL MATRICES.

In the previous section by our initial automorphism  $\Phi$  we constructed some new automorphism  $\Phi' = \Phi_M \Phi$  such that  $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ . We suppose that such an automorphism  $\Phi'$  is fixed.

**Lemma 12.** *If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $1/2 \in R$ , an automorphism  $\Phi' \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  is such that  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$   $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , then for all  $\alpha, \beta \in R_+^*$  we have*

$$\Phi'(\text{diag}[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) = \text{diag}[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta], \quad \gamma, \delta \in R_+^*.$$

If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $\gamma \neq \delta$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2

$$\Phi'(\text{diag}[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) = \text{diag}[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n].$$

Consider the substitution  $\sigma = (2, 3, \dots, n)$ . Since  $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha S_\sigma$  then  $\Phi'(C_{D_n(R)}(S_\sigma)) = C_{D_n(R)}(S_\sigma)$ . Therefore  $\text{diag}[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  commutes with  $S_\sigma$ , and therefore  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \dots = \gamma_n$ . So we only need to prove that  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ . It directly follows from the fact that the matrix  $\text{diag}[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]$  does not commute with  $S_{(12)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $1/2 \in R$ , an automorphism  $\Phi' \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  is such that  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$   $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , then for all  $X \in G_2(R)$  we have*

$$\Phi' \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } Y \in G_2(R), a \in R_+^*.$$

*Proof.* Denote

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 12 we can prove that for any matrix

$$A = \text{diag}[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta] \in D_n(R), \quad \alpha \neq \beta,$$

we have

$$\Phi'(A) = \text{diag}[\gamma, \gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta] \in D_n(R), \quad \gamma \neq \delta.$$

Note that the matrix  $C$  commutes with  $A$  and  $S_{(3,4,\dots,n)}$ , consequently  $\Phi'(C)$  has the obtained form.  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $1/2 \in R$ , an automorphism  $\Phi' \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  is such that  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$   $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{\text{sgn } \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , then for any  $x_1, x_2 \in R_+^*$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi'(A_1) &= \Phi'(\text{diag}[x_1, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\xi_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_1], \\ \Phi'(A_2) &= \Phi'(\text{diag}[x_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\xi_2, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_2]\end{aligned}$$

we have  $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} \neq \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that for some different  $x_1, x_2 \in R_+^*$  we have  $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} = \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$ , i. e.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi'(A_1) &= \Phi'(\text{diag}[x_1, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] = A'_1, \\ \Phi'(A_2) &= \Phi'(\text{diag}[x_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \alpha \cdot \text{diag}[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] = A'_2,\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Phi'^{-1}(\alpha I) = \Phi'^{-1}(A'_1 A'_2{}^{-1}) = \text{diag}[x_1 x_2^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] = \text{diag}[\beta, 1, \dots, 1]$ , where  $1 \neq \beta \in R_+^*$ , but it is impossible. Consequently,  $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} \neq \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM.

In this section we will prove the main theorem (Theorem 1).

**Lemma 15.** *If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $1/2 \in R$ , an automorphism  $\Phi' \in \text{Aut}(G_n(R))$  is such that  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$   $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{\text{sgn } \sigma} S_\sigma$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ , then there exists such a mapping  $c(\cdot) : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  that for all  $x \in R_+$   $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(c(x))$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 13 we have

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & & \\ \gamma & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in R_+^*, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in G_2(R).$$

Let for every  $x \in R_+^*$

$$\Phi'(\text{diag}[x, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\xi(x), \gamma(x), \dots, \gamma(x)], \quad \xi(x), \gamma(x) \in R_+^*$$

(see Lemma 12).

Then for any  $x \in R_+^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) &= \Phi'(\text{diag}[x, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1)\text{diag}[x^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) = \\
&= \text{diag}[\xi(x), \eta(x), \dots, \eta(x)] \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & \\ \gamma & \delta & & \\ & & a & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix} \text{diag}[\xi(x)^{-1}, \eta(x)^{-1}, \dots, \eta(x)^{-1}] = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta & & \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta & & \\ & & a & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

with  $\nu(x) = \xi(x)\eta(x)^{-1}$ .

By Lemma 14 for  $x_1 \neq x_2$  we have  $\nu(x_1) \neq \nu(x_2)$ .

For every  $x \in R_+$   $\Phi'(B_{12}(1))$  and  $\Phi'(B_{12}(x))$  commute. Let us write this assertion in the matrix form for  $x \in R_+^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 + \nu(x)^{-1}\beta\gamma & \nu(x)\alpha\beta + \beta\delta \\ \gamma\alpha + \nu(x)^{-1}\delta\gamma & \nu(x)\gamma\beta + \delta^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 + \nu(x)\beta\gamma & \alpha\beta + \nu(x)\beta\delta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma\alpha + \delta\gamma & \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma\beta + \delta^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\nu(x)^{-1}\beta\gamma = \nu(x)\beta\gamma$  for distinct  $x \in R_+^*$ . Hence,  $\beta\gamma = 0$ .

Let us use the condition  $(B_{12}(1))^2 = \text{diag}[2, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1)\cdot\text{diag}[1/2, 1, \dots, 1]$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta(\alpha + \delta) & & \\ \gamma(\alpha + \delta) & \delta^2 & & \\ & & a^2 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & a^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(2)\beta & & \\ \nu(2)^{-1}\gamma & \delta & & \\ & & a & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix},$$

that implies  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ ,  $\delta^2 = \delta$ ,  $a = 1$  (since  $a$  is invertible).

Use the condition  $B_{12}(1)B_{13}(1) = B_{13}(1)B_{12}(1)$ , it implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta & \alpha\beta \\ \alpha\gamma & \delta & \gamma\beta \\ \gamma & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta & 0 \\ \alpha\gamma & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore  $\alpha\gamma = \gamma$ .

Now we can use the condition  $B_{12}(1)B_{13}(1) = B_{13}(1)B_{23}(1)B_{12}(1)$ , it gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha\beta & \beta^2 \\ \gamma & \alpha\delta & \beta\delta \\ 0 & \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha\beta & \beta\delta \\ \gamma & \alpha\delta & \beta \\ \alpha\gamma + \gamma^2\delta & \gamma\delta^2 & \delta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2\delta = 0$ , consequently  $\alpha\gamma = \gamma = 0$ . Since  $\gamma = 0$ , we have that elements  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are invertible, since  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are idempotents, then  $\alpha = \delta = 1$ . Therefore,  $\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = B_{12}(\beta)$ .

Let us consider  $B_{12}(x)$ ,  $x \in R_+$ . From the condition  $B_{12}(1)B_{23}(x) = B_{13}(x)B_{23}(x)B_{12}(1)$  it follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a\beta & b\beta \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a\beta + bc & bd \\ 0 & a & b \\ c & c\beta + cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $c = 0$ , therefore  $a$  and  $d$  are invertible. From the condition  $B_{12}(x)^2 = \text{diag}[2, 1, \dots, 1]^{-1}B_{12}(x)\text{diag}[2, 1, \dots, 1]$  it follows  $a^2 = a$ ,  $d^2 = d$ . Hence  $a = d = 1$ . Thus,  $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(b(x))$ .  $\square$

Recall (see Definition 8), that if  $G$  is a semigroup, then a homomorphism  $\lambda(\cdot) : G \rightarrow G$  is called a *central homomorphism* of  $G$ , if  $\lambda(G) \subset Z(G)$ . A mapping  $\Omega(\cdot) : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $\forall X \in G$

$$\Omega(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X,$$

where  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is a central homomorphism, is called a *central homothety*.

Recall that for every  $y(\cdot) \in \text{Aut}(R_+)$  by  $\Phi^y$  we denote an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$  such that  $\forall X = (x_{ij}) \in G_n(R)$   $\Phi^y(X) = \Phi^y((x_{ij})) = (y(x_{ij}))$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\Phi$  is an arbitrary automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $1/2 \in R$ . Then on the semigroup  $GE_n^+(R)$  (see Definition 7)  $\Phi = \Phi_M \Phi^c \Omega$ , where  $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ ,  $c(\cdot) \in \text{Aut}(R_+)$ ,  $\Omega(\cdot)$  is a central homothety of  $GE_n^+(R)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 4, 6, 11 there exists such a matrix  $M' \in \Gamma_n(R)$ , that for every substitution  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$

$$\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \Phi_{M'}\Phi(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{\text{sgn } \sigma} S_\sigma, \quad \alpha^2 = 1.$$

Now let us consider the automorphism  $\Phi'$ .

Be Lemma 15 there exists a mapping  $b(\cdot) : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  such that for any  $x \in R_+$

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(b(x)).$$

Consider this mapping. Since  $\Phi'$  is an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ , we have that  $b(\cdot) : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  is bijective.

Since for all  $x_1, x_2 \in R_+$   $B_{12}(x_1 + x_2) = B_{12}(x_1)B_{12}(x_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12}(b(x_1 + x_2)) &= \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1)B_{12}(x_2)) = \\ &= \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{12}(x_2)) = B_{12}(b(x_1)) \cdot B_{12}(b(x_2)) = B_{12}(b(x_1) + b(x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

therefore for all  $x_1, x_2 \in R_+$   $c(x_1 + x_2) = c(x_1) + c(x_2)$ , consequently  $b(\cdot)$  is additive.

To prove multiplicativity of  $b(\cdot)$ , we will use the condition:

- 1)  $\Phi'(B_{13}(x)) = \Phi'(S_{(2,3)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,3)}) = S_{(2,3)}B_{12}(b(x))S_{(2,3)} = B_{13}(b(x))$ ;
- 2) similarly,  $\Phi'(B_{32}(x)) = B_{32}(b(x))$ ;
- 3) (compare with the proof of Lemma 15)

$$\begin{aligned} B_{13}(x_1)B_{32}(x_2) &= B_{32}(x_2)B_{13}(x_1)B_{12}(x_1x_2) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \Phi'(B_{13}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{32}(x_2)) &= \Phi'(B_{32}(x_2))\Phi'(B_{13}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{12}(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow B_{13}(b(x_1))B_{32}(b(x_2)) &= B_{32}(b(x_2))B_{13}(b(x_1))B_{12}(b(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b(x_1)b(x_2) & b(x_1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b(x_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b(x_1x_2) & b(x_1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b(x_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \quad b(x_1x_2) = b(x_1)b(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $b(\cdot)$  is multiplicative.

Since  $b(\cdot)$  is bijective, additive and multiplicative, then  $b(\cdot)$  is an automorphism of the semiring  $R_+$ .

Consider now the mapping  $\Phi^{b^{-1}}$ , that maps every matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  to  $\Phi^{b^{-1}}(A) = (b^{-1}(a_{ij}))$ . This mapping is an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ . Then  $\Phi'' = \Phi^{b^{-1}} \circ \Phi' = \Phi^{b^{-1}} \circ \Phi_{M'} \circ \Phi$  is an automorphism of  $G_n(R)$ , that does not move  $B_{ij}(x)$  ( $x \in R_+$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $i \neq j$ ) and  $\Phi''(S_\sigma) = \alpha^{sgn \sigma} S_\sigma$  ( $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ ). Namely,  $\Phi''(S_\sigma) = \Phi^{b^{-1}}(\Phi'(S_\sigma)) = \Phi^{b^{-1}}(\alpha S_\sigma) = b^{-1}(\alpha)S_\sigma$ , since the matrix  $S_\sigma$  contains only 0 and 1; for  $i = 3, \dots, n$   $\Phi''(B_{i2}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(1,i)}B_{12}(x)S_{(1,i)}) = S_{(1,i)}\Phi''(B_{12}(x))S_{(1,i)} = S_{(1,i)}\Phi^{b^{-1}}(B_{12}(b(x)))S_{(1,i)} = S_{(1,i)}B_{12}(x)S_{(1,i)} = B_{i,2}(x)$ ; for  $j = 3, \dots, n$   $\Phi''(B_{1j}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(2,j)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,j)}) = S_{(2,j)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,j)} = B_{1j}(x)$ ; for  $i, j = 3, \dots, n$   $\Phi''(B_{ij}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(i,1)}B_{1j}(x)S_{(1,i)}) = S_{(i,1)}B_{1j}(x)S_{(1,i)} = B_{ij}(x)$ .

As we know (see Lemma 12), for all  $\alpha \in R_+^*$

$$\Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\beta(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)], \quad \beta, \gamma \in R_+^*.$$

Use the condition

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{diag}[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1)\text{diag}[\alpha^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] = B_{12}(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
& \Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1])\Phi''(B_{12}(1))\Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) = \Phi''(B_{12}(\alpha)) \Rightarrow \\
& \Rightarrow \text{diag}[\beta(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)]B_{12}(1)\text{diag}[\beta(\alpha)^{-1}, \gamma(\alpha)^{-1}, \dots, \gamma(\alpha)^{-1}] = B_{12}(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
& \Rightarrow \beta(\alpha)\gamma(\alpha)^{-1} = \alpha \Rightarrow \beta(\alpha) = \alpha\gamma(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
& \forall \alpha \in R_+^* \quad \Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]) = \text{diag}[\alpha\gamma(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since for all  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R_+^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{diag}[\alpha_1\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2)] = \Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha_1\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \\
& = \Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1])\Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \\
& = \text{diag}[\alpha_1\gamma(\alpha_1), \gamma(\alpha_1), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1)]\text{diag}[\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_2)] = \\
& = \text{diag}[\alpha_1\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2)] \Rightarrow \\
& \Rightarrow \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R_+^* \quad \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2) = \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2),
\end{aligned}$$

then the mapping  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is a central homomorphism (see Definition 8)  
 $\gamma(\cdot) : R_+^* \rightarrow R_+^*$ .

If  $A = \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi''(A) = \\
& = \Phi''(\text{diag}[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1]S_{1,2}\text{diag}[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)}S_{(1,3)}\text{diag}[\alpha_3, 1, \dots, 1] \times \\
& \quad \times S_{(1,3)} \dots S_{(1,n)}\text{diag}[\alpha_n, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,n)}) = \\
& = \gamma(\alpha_1)\text{diag}[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)}\gamma(\alpha_2)\text{diag}[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)} \dots \\
& \quad \dots S_{(1,n)}\gamma(\alpha_n)\text{diag}[\alpha_n, 1, \dots, 1]\gamma(\alpha_n) = \\
& = \gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \gamma(\alpha_n)A = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)A.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (see Definition 5), that  $\mathbf{P}$  is a subsemigroup of  $G_n(R)$ , generated by  $S_\sigma$  ( $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ ),  $B_{ij}(x)$  ( $x \in R_+$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $i \neq j$ ), and  $\text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  ( $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R_+^*$ ).

Note that determinant of any matrix from  $GE_n^+(R)$  is an invertible element of  $G_n(R)$ , that can be compared with zero (it is  $\geq 0$  or  $\leq 0$ ). It follows from the fact that all diagonal matrices have determinant  $\geq 0$ , all matrices of substitutions have determinant  $\pm 1$ , matrices  $B_{ij}(x)$  and their inverse matrices have determinant 1.

Let  $\Phi''(S_{(1,2)}) = \alpha S_{(1,2)}$ ,  $\alpha^2 = 1$ . Consider the mapping  $\mu : R_+^* \cup R_-^* \rightarrow R_+^*$ , that corresponds every  $a \in R_+^*$  to itself, and every  $a \in R_-^*$  to  $\alpha a$ . Clear that it is a homomorphism.

Then the mapping that corresponds every matrix  $A$  to the matrix  $\mu(\det A)A$ , is a central homothety of  $GE_n^+(R)$ . Let us denote this

homothety by  $\Omega'$  and consider the composition  $\Phi''' = \Omega' \circ \Phi''$ . It is an automorphism of  $GE_n^+(R)$ , that does not move  $S_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ , and  $B_{ij}(x)$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $x \in R_+$ .

Clear that every matrix  $A \in \mathbf{P}$  can be represented as

$$A = \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] A_1 \dots A_k,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R_+^*$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \{S_\sigma, B_{ij}(x) | \sigma \in \Sigma_n, x \in R_+, i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'''(A) &= \Phi'''(\text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] A_1 \dots A_k) = \\ &= \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] A_1 \dots A_k = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) A. \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce a mapping  $\bar{\gamma}(\cdot) : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow R_+^*$  by the following rule: if  $A \in \mathbf{P}$  and  $A = \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] A_1 \dots A_k$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \{S_\sigma, B_{ij}(x) | \sigma \in \Sigma_n, x \in R_+, i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$ , then  $\bar{\gamma}(A) = \gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

The mapping  $\bar{\lambda}(\cdot)$  is uniquely defined, since if

$$A = \text{diag}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] A_1 \dots A_k = \text{diag}[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n] A'_1 \dots A'_m,$$

then  $\Phi'''(A) = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) A$  and  $\Phi'''(A) = \gamma(\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_n) A$ , therefore  $\gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) = \gamma(\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_n)$ .

Since  $\bar{\gamma}(AA')AA' = \Phi'''(AA') = \Phi'''(A)\Phi'''(A') = \bar{\gamma}(A)A \cdot \bar{\gamma}(A')A' = \bar{\gamma}(A)\bar{\gamma}(A')AA'$ , then  $\bar{\gamma}$  is a homomorphism  $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow R_+^*$ .

Now we see that on  $\mathbf{P}$  the automorphism  $\Phi'''$  coincides with a central homothety  $\Omega(\cdot) : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ , where for all  $a \in \mathbf{P}$   $\Omega(A) = \bar{\gamma}(A) \cdot A$ .

Let  $B \in GE_n^+(R)$ . Then (see Definitions 6,7) a matrix  $B$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -equivalent to some matrix  $A \in \mathbf{P}$ , i.e. there exist matrices  $A_0, \dots, A_k \in G_n(R)$ ,  $A_0 = A \in \mathbf{P}$ ,  $A_k = B$  and matrices  $P_i, \tilde{P}_i, Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i \in \mathbf{P}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$  such that for all  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$

$$P_i A_i \tilde{P}_i = Q_i A_{i+1} \tilde{Q}_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'''(P_0 A_0 \tilde{P}_0) &= \Phi'''(Q_0 A_1 \tilde{Q}_0) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\gamma}(P_0) P_0 \bar{\gamma}(A_0) A_0 \bar{\gamma}(\tilde{P}_0) \tilde{P}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(Q_0) Q_0 \Phi'''(A_1) \bar{\gamma}(\tilde{Q}_0) \tilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \bar{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \tilde{P}_0) P_0 A_0 \tilde{P}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(Q_0 \tilde{Q}_0) Q_0 \Phi'''(A_1) \tilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \tilde{P}_0) \bar{\gamma}(Q_0 \tilde{Q}_0)^{-1} Q_0 A_1 \tilde{Q}_0 = Q_0 \Phi'''(A_1) \tilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \Rightarrow \Phi'''(A_1) = \bar{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \tilde{P}_0) \bar{\gamma}(Q_0 \tilde{Q}_0)^{-1} A_1, \dots, \\ &\dots, \Phi'''(B) = \Phi'''(A_n) = \bar{\gamma}(P_{n-1}) \bar{\gamma}(A_{n-1}) \bar{\gamma}(\tilde{P}_{n-1}) \bar{\gamma}(Q_{n-1})^{-1} \bar{\gamma}(\tilde{Q}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we can extend the mapping  $\overline{\gamma}(\cdot) : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow R_+^*$  to some mapping  $\lambda(\cdot) : GE_n^+(R) \rightarrow R_+^*$  such that for every  $B \in GE_n^+(R)$

$$\Phi'''(B) = \lambda(B) \cdot B.$$

Since  $\Phi'''$  is an automorphism of  $GE_n^+(R)$ , then  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is a central homomorphism  $\lambda(\cdot) : GE_n^+(R) \rightarrow R_+^*$  and, therefore, automorphism  $\Phi''' : GE_n^+(R) \rightarrow GE_n^+(R)$  is a central homothety  $\Omega''(\cdot) : GE_n^+(R) \rightarrow GE_n^+(R)$ , where  $\forall X \in GE_n^+(R) \ \Omega''(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X$ .

Since  $\Phi''' = \Omega''$  on  $GE_n^+(R)$  and  $\Phi''' = \Omega' \circ \Phi^{c^{-1}} \circ \Phi_{M'} \circ \Phi$  on  $G_n(R)$ , then  $\Phi = \Phi_M \circ \Phi^c \circ \Omega$  on  $GE_n^+(R)$ , where  $M = M'^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega = \Omega' \Omega''$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Mikhalev, M. A. Shatalova. Automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of the semigroup of matrices with nonnegative elements. — Math. Sb, 1970, 81(4), 600–609.
- [2] S. N. Ilyin. Invertible matrices over (nonassociative) antirings. Universal algebra and its applications. — Volgograd, Preremena, 2000, 81–89.
- [3] E. I. Bunina, A. V. Mikhalev. Automorphisms of the semigroup of invertible matrices with nonnegative elements. Fundamental'naya i prikladnaya matematika, 2005, 11(2), 3–23.